01 / ABOUT THIS ROADMAP

Where we want to take the Pond

The Royal Pond began with a character and a small Telegram group. It now has a news website and an AI Bumpy who answers questions on the site and in Telegram. The next step is to make those things better, give people more ways to contribute, and work out where the token adds something useful.

This document explains the proposed work and the questions we still need to answer. It accompanies the illustrated roadmap. Version 0.4, updated 29 October 2026.

The current token is the starting point

We initially considered a replacement token because we were uncertain about creator-fee access. The owner has since confirmed control of the recipient wallet and successfully claimed ETH. We are therefore developing this roadmap around the existing $ROYALBUMPY token. A migration would need a separate, specific reason and a published holder plan.

The supplied token source supports ordinary ERC-20 transfers and voluntary burning. Membership checks, tips and many reward schemes can be built around it. They do not require us to change its contract. Graduation changes how the token trades; it does not install new product features. [1, 2]

How to read the status labels

Live means a feature has been delivered and observed in use. Next identifies the work we intend to prioritise. Exploring means design, funding or permissions still need resolving. Longer term covers ambitions that depend on growth or other organisations. A configured automation is labelled separately until we have seen the complete process work.

What stays open

Reading the news, asking ordinary questions and joining the community should remain possible without owning tokens. Any holder benefits would sit alongside that free experience. We want people to stay because they enjoy the place.

There are no launch dates or guaranteed returns in this roadmap. It is not a security audit. We will revise it as we learn what people use, what we can afford and which dependencies we can reasonably accept.

02 / CURRENT POSITION

What you can use already

Feature	Position on 28 October 2026
News website	Dated stories, linked sources, separate Bumpy commentary, archives, search and share cards. RSS and the meme cabinet are available.
Royal Receipts	A sourced promise watchlist is live, with status labels such as Watching and dates showing when entries were checked. Watching is not a finding of wrongdoing.
AI Bumpy	Live on the website and in Telegram. He can discuss crypto and Pond stories. Further work will improve context, helpfulness and conversational judgement.
Market questions	On-demand lookups across both interfaces. Provider data is checked for freshness where timestamps exist. This is not a continuous trading feed.
Telegram community	Welcomes, moderation and onboarding tools. The animated Bumpy Goes Degen sticker pack is in use.
X announcements in Telegram	The relay has delivered live X posts to the group. Replies and simple reposts are excluded.
Five daily stories and posts	The five-story publishing package and spaced X posting are configured. The first complete unattended website-to-X-to-Telegram cycle still needs checking.

The next reliability checks

We will follow the daily edition through its build, the live website, each scheduled X post and the Telegram relay. Missing images, expired slots and uncertain deliveries need to be handled without duplicate posts. The configured X times are 08:00, 12:00, 15:00, 18:00 and 21:00, London time.

Bumpy also needs continued observation in ordinary conversation: whether he answers the question, notices when a conversation has ended and avoids repeating the same joke. A larger model is an option if it helps enough to justify its cost.

Market figures need careful labels. An indicative curve price is not a quote for selling a particular amount. Fully diluted valuation, circulating market cap and liquidity are different figures. When the provider cannot supply something reliably, Bumpy should say so.

He does not control wallets or make trading decisions. Open-ended fetching of arbitrary news links is not currently part of the website assistant.

03 / NEXT

A better place to read and contribute

News tools and AI Bumpy

We want readers to ask useful follow-up questions from a story: explain the jargon, identify what changed, or show what is still uncertain. Topic pages, saved reading and opt-in digests would make the archive easier to revisit. Royal Receipts already tracks sourced promises. We want to make the evidence, follow-ups and corrections easier to explore.

For “Bumpy, read this link”, we would start with pasted excerpts and selected public sources. A wider fetcher needs protections against private-network requests, unsafe redirects and instructions hidden inside articles. Inaccessible pages must stay inaccessible; Bumpy should ask for text rather than invent a summary. Copyright and source attribution still apply.

A place to make and submit things

The proposed Meme Foundry would offer caption templates and reaction cards using approved Bumpy artwork. Members could submit original memes, useful explanations and sourced news tips for a moderated gallery or weekly showcase. We would agree permission, attribution and removal rules before featuring anyone’s work.

Small badges could recognise accepted contributions, corrections and helpful community work. They would be ordinary profile features initially, with no need to mint an NFT. Message counts and repeated positive posts are poor measures of contribution, so we would not reward them automatically.

Community choices

Early votes could help choose the next tool, a creative theme or a question for Bumpy to investigate. They would be advisory, with the owner explaining the final decision. Token holdings should not decide which facts we publish or whether criticism is allowed.

Snapshot’s voting model and Zealy’s quest tools are useful references, rather than chosen suppliers. Their documentation shows established ways to organise eligibility, submissions and recognition. We would still need to check Robinhood Chain support, pricing and whether a small custom feature is simpler. [3, 4]

04 / NEXT AND EXPLORING

Receipts, challenges and games

Royal Receipts: remember what was promised

The website already has a promise watchlist, including entries marked Watching. Each entry links to a source and records a checked date. It gives readers a way to revisit an announcement once the excitement has passed. It is not a comprehensive fact-checking service or a verdict on a project’s safety.

The next version could give each claim a permanent page: the original words and date, the promised milestone, later evidence, and a visible history of changes. We would distinguish an announcement from a working release and give credit when a promise is delivered. Where timing or evidence is unclear, the entry should say so.

Members could submit sources or request corrections, with human editorial review before publication. Keep claims separate from Bumpy’s opinion, offer a route for the subject to respond, and show corrections openly. A delay alone is not proof of wrongdoing. Our own public roadmap should face the same scrutiny.

Small games people would play for fun

Start with free browser mini-games and shared challenges: a short Bumpy arcade game, a crypto-headline quiz, or a weekly community score challenge. These are ideas to prototype, not live games. Play should work on a phone without a wallet or token purchase.

Early rewards could be a badge, a cosmetic unlock or a place on a seasonal leaderboard. Test touch controls, accessibility, score validation, rate limits, cheating and moderation before calling a competition fair. Collect as little player data as possible; publish scoring and tie-break rules.

Token connections come later

If people enjoy the games, investigate optional token-linked cosmetics, membership perks or a small, pre-funded reward event. Any valuable rewards would need eligibility rules, anti-abuse checks, a fixed budget and legal review before launch. No paid chance-based entry, token wagering or earnings promise is planned. Tokens would add an optional feature to a game that is already worth playing.

05 / EXPLORING

Practical uses for $ROYALBUMPY

Optional membership

A wallet could prove eligibility for a little extra Ask Bumpy usage, early tool access or a personal dashboard. A signed login message would prove control of the wallet without moving its tokens. The server must check the domain, chain, nonce and expiry, and support contract-wallet signatures where appropriate. [5]

Before launch we would specify the benefits, balance threshold and how often eligibility is checked. Finite benefits must not be claimable repeatedly by moving the same tokens between wallets. Linking a Telegram identity would be optional and require proof of both accounts; that link would not be public by default.

Direct tips

A member could tip an opted-in creator from a meme or profile. The site would show the exact token, network, recipient and amount, then ask the sender’s wallet to sign a transfer. Telegram would link to that screen. Bumpy would not hold deposits or keep an internal cash balance.

Recipient changes need fresh verification. The interface must show gas costs and confirmation status, and handle failed or delayed transactions without paying twice. A small-value trial would come before wider use.

Contributor bounties

A fixed budget could pay for an accepted meme, sourced research, a bug fix or another defined piece of work. We would publish the task and judging criteria first, review the work, then approve a payout list. Bumpy can help prepare it; an authorised human signs the transfers.

For a small group, direct transfers are likely to be sufficient. A larger claim programme could use a separately reviewed Merkle distributor, with a funded allocation, replay protection and a clear policy for expiry and unclaimed tokens. A cryptographic library alone is not a complete secure payout system. [6]

Membership and rewards also need legal review before they are offered or promoted. Calling something “utility” does not resolve the rules on incentives. [9, 10]

06 / EXPLORING

Staking, payments and burns

The Royal Reserve

We are interested in a small staking pilot with a finite reward budget. It would use a separate contract to hold existing $ROYALBUMPY and distribute rewards over a stated period. This would not validate Robinhood Chain or earn blockchain-validator income.

The first design should allow straightforward withdrawal of principal, without lending or trading people’s deposits. Rewards would come from disclosed token holdings or funds already received by the project. Future creator fees are uncertain and cannot be treated as money already available.

For illustration, a funded pool distributing 100,000 tokens over 30 days would pay 2,000 tokens to someone whose stake remained exactly 2% of the total throughout. Changing stakes change the share. That says nothing about the tokens’ future value or whether the holder makes a profit.

The contract would need separate accounting for deposits and rewards, defined rounding, time-weighted allocation and tests for changing stake, repeated claims and periods with no stakers. Administrative powers, any upgrade route and emergency handling must be disclosed. Pausing new deposits should not casually block people’s exit.

We would need funding, independent security review, verified deployment and successful withdrawal tests before accepting deposits. If that is beyond the project’s budget, wallet-based membership is a reasonable alternative. Bert’s staking page is an interface reference, not evidence that its advertised returns or economics would work here. [11]

Paying for a useful service

Later, tokens might pay for an optional creative service or extra tool credits. That needs a product people actually want, a clear price, a payment receipt and a refund policy. We would not add a payment step just to manufacture token activity.

Burns are a separate decision

The current burn extension allows holders to destroy their own tokens; burning from another wallet requires allowance. A project-funded buyback would first spend ETH to buy tokens, with fees and price impact. Pons buyback-and-vest locks tokens for later release rather than burning them. Neither locking nor burning guarantees a price floor. [1, 2]

07 / CONTRACT DEPENDENCIES

What we still need to check

Current token: $ROYALBUMPY on Robinhood Chain, chain ID 4663.

0x7b9bd24ae56c749ba0e6d2e6b9397348d4becdce

Use the exact chain and address when checking it. ETH pays network fees. [12]

Our review has looked at supplied source, source-verification records and owner observations. It has not independently reproduced every deployment or tested every path. Some linked contracts have verification matches with compiler metadata differences; the individual token and curve still need further matching and live-state checks.

Area	Why it matters
Already credited fees	The owner has claimed ETH. The reviewed escrow pays the caller’s credited balance without needing the Noir website. This is separate from getting new fees into escrow.
Fee sweeps	Some conversions and buybacks require a designated operator. Its public entry points and a practical self-service fallback still need clarification.
Recipient overrides	Reviewed factory code permits an owner-proposed recipient change after a 3-day delay, with a further 3-day execution window. Unswept fees and remaining vault entitlement can be affected.
Graduation	Reviewed code separates the reserve sweep from pool creation. A stalled transition needs a recovery procedure. Owner rescue of swept reserves after 7 days is not an enforced holder-refund mechanism.
Buyback vesting	The five-year vault is not community staking. Beneficiaries, policy and releasable balances need to be checked for this launch.

These powers are described in the reviewed code; listing them is not an allegation that they have been abused. We have asked Pons about operational independence. A new launch through the same factory would inherit those controls.

Before offering a feature that relies on fee income, we need current, block-pinned reads of the relevant settings, verified wiring and a tested way to operate if a service stops. We would publish material changes to our understanding.

08 / DELIVERY

How we would tackle the work

Stage	Work and a practical check before expansion
1. Keep the basics dependable	Observe the daily publishing cycle, improve Bumpy’s answers and record errors and running costs. Aim to observe seven complete daily cycles before calling the automation established.
2. Open up participation	Pilot submissions, creative templates, advisory votes and a free mini-game. Expand Royal Receipts with dated evidence and corrections. Check whether people return and moderation remains manageable.
3. Trial token utility	Test optional membership, direct tips and a funded bounty programme. Check wallet linking, payment failures and duplicate protection with small amounts first.
4. Decide on the Reserve	Assess staking demand, economics, legal position and independent review costs. Defer it if those conditions cannot be met.
5. Consider wider reach	Explore integrations, optional token-linked game features, data listings and suitable exchange applications when usage, funding and support capacity justify them.

Paying for it

We will keep running costs, rewards, security review and any liquidity spending in separate budgets. AI, image generation, market-data services, X access and hosting have cash costs. Token market cap is not money available to pay them.

Creator income belongs in the budget only when received. No fixed share of future fees or right to project revenue is promised here. Optional tools need usage limits and spending caps. More visitors can increase costs before they generate income.

What we will measure

The useful measures are returning readers, helpful answers, accepted contributions, successful payments and the amount of owner time the tools save. We also need error rates, abuse reports and enough cash to cover ongoing service. These tell us more about the product than a temporary rise in its chart.

The owner will approve scope and spending. Moderators will review contributions. Contracts that hold funds need independent review. We will publish release notes and explain changes to the plan rather than quietly remove missed ambitions.

09 / LONGER TERM

Where growth could take us

Better discoverability and possible listings

First, the project information should be accurate wherever it appears: website, explorer, market pages and social profiles. A CoinMarketCap DEX page is not the same as a centralised exchange listing. Data services also have their own trading, information and acceptance criteria. [7]

If the project develops enough demand, we could prepare applications to suitable exchanges. That would require clear supply and allocation information, disclosure of owner and treasury concentration, contract powers, security evidence, market liquidity and a reliable project contact. An exchange decides whether to proceed; there is no secured listing or timetable. [8]

Using Robinhood Chain does not imply a Robinhood retail-app listing or endorsement. We would use official application channels and would not buy fake volume, encourage spam or pay someone who claims to guarantee acceptance.

Bumpy beyond the current site

We would like to explore multilingual briefings, short audio editions, an installable mobile experience and Bumpy tools for other communities. Artwork, merchandise or editorial collaborations may also make sense if people want them and rights, fulfilment and support can be handled properly.

Collectibles could recognise participation, but ordinary badges are the simpler starting point. Bridges, lending, leverage and paid games of chance are not planned releases. They introduce obligations far beyond what the present product needs.

Transparency and public terms

A future transparency page could show reward budgets, confirmed payouts and the distinction between fees accrued, claimable and actually received. Material project funds may warrant a suitable multisignature arrangement; chain and service support must be checked. [13]

Before launching token-linked benefits, we will need advice on the applicable financial-promotion rules, incentives and target jurisdictions. A disclaimer does not replace that work. Privacy, consumer terms, content rights and contest rules need their own attention. The Royal Pond is independent and has no affiliation with Noir. [9, 10]

10 / REFERENCES

Technical reading

References checked on 28 October 2026. These sources describe mechanisms or requirements; they do not establish that a proposed Royal Pond feature is complete or safe.

[1] Pons V2 documentation
https://docs.ponsfamily.com/v2
Protocol descriptions, read alongside the actual contracts.

[2] OpenZeppelin ERC-20
https://docs.openzeppelin.com/contracts/5.x/api/token/erc20
Transfers, allowances and voluntary burning.

[3] Zealy quest API
https://docs.zealy.io/api-reference/quests
Examples of XP, roles and custom rewards.

[4] Snapshot voting guide
https://github.com/snapshot-labs/snapshot-docs/blob/master/user-guides/voting/vote.md
Eligibility and snapshot-based voting.

[5] Sign-In with Ethereum
https://eips.ethereum.org/EIPS/eip-4361
Wallet login messages, sessions and verification.

[6] OpenZeppelin MerkleProof
https://docs.openzeppelin.com/contracts/5.x/api/utils/cryptography
A building block for verifying claim allocations.

[7] CoinGecko listing criteria
https://support.coingecko.com/articles/5547344066-why-is-my-token-not-listed-on-coingecko
Information, market and acceptance requirements.

Source and live-state limits

The contract summary also draws on source supplied for the token and curve and verification records for linked contracts. A verification match establishes a relationship to compiled code; it is not an exploit audit or proof of current settings.

11 / REFERENCES

Further reading and updates

[8] Coinbase listings
https://www.coinbase.com/en-gb/listings
An example of exchange due diligence, not a partnership.

[9] FCA cryptoasset promotions guidance
https://www.fca.org.uk/publications/fg23-3-finalised-non-handbook-guidance-cryptoasset-financial-promotions
Standards for public communications.

[10] FCA feedback on incentives
https://www.fca.org.uk/publications/good-poor-practice/firms-preparations-cryptoasset-financial-promotions-regime
Incentives may be restricted even without an explicit buy bonus.

[11] Bert staking interface
https://staking.bert.global/
Design reference only; we have not audited its funding or contracts.

[12] Robinhood Chain connectivity
https://docs.robinhood.com/chain/connecting/
Chain settings, ETH gas and provider requirements.

[13] Safe network support
https://docs.safe.global/advanced/smart-account-supported-networks
Contracts and supporting services need separate checks.

Inspecting the current deployment

Token explorer
Launch factory source and contract reads
Fee escrow source and contract reads

Keeping this document current

Version 0.4 adds the live Royal Receipts watchlist, plans for evidence and correction histories, and community mini-games with optional token connections further out. It retains the existing token as its baseline. The current roadmap and future revisions will be linked from theroyalpond.fun/roadmap.html.